<u>Minutes</u>

Corporate Services and Partnerships Policy Overview Committee Tuesday, 13 September 2011 Meeting held at Committee Room 5 - Civic Centre, High Street, Uxbridge UB8 1UW



	Members Present: Councillors Richard Lewis (Chairman), Beulah East, Neil Fyfe, Raymond Graham, Shirley Harper-O'Neill, Richard Mills, Robin Sansarpuri and Michael White.		
	Apologies: None.		
	Officers: Helen Taylor (Head of Audit and Enforcement) and Khalid Ahmed (Democratic Services Manager).		
	Witnesses Jonathan Gooding (Senior Audit Manager for the Council – Deloitte) and John Morley (Chairman of the Audit Committee)		
18.	DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST		
	Councillors Raymond Graham and Richard Lewis declared Personal Interests In Agenda Item 5 – First Major Review – Effectiveness of the Audit Committee and its Terms of Reference as they were both Members of the Audit Committee. They both remained in the room and took part in discussions.		
19.	MINUTES OF THE MEETING HELD ON 21 JULY 2011		
	Agreed as an accurate record.		
20.	EXCLUSION OF THE PRESS AND PUBLIC		
	It was agreed that all items of business were considered in public.		
21.	FIRST MAJOR REVIEW – EFFECTIVENESS OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE AND ITS TERMS OF REFERENCE – WITNESS SESSION		
	On 21 July 2011, the Committee commenced its review into the effectiveness of the Audit Committee and its terms of reference.		
	Members had been provided with documents which provided background information to the issues which would be covered in the review. These included, "Practical Guidance for Local Authorities" from CIPFA and the "Audit Committee Update No.5" which was issued by the CIPFA better governance		

forum.		Action by:
Jonatha Auditors	hairman of the Audit Committee, John Morley and an Gooding from Deloitte, the Council's External s attended the meeting and provided Members with ews on the Audit Committee and its terms of reference.	
Chairm	an of the Audit Committee – John Morley	
	Audit Committees in the public sector was a relatively new phenomena, although the NHS and Universities had had Audit Committees for considerably longer than local authorities The membership of the Audit Committee should be larger and consideration should be given to the appointment of an additional Independent Member. This would balance up the Committee and would fit in with the proposals contained in the Department for Communities and Local Government consultation on the future of local public audit which included a proposal for an Independent Vice-Chairman Reference was made to the recent problems with a meeting of the Audit Committee which would have been inquorate due to the absence of three Members. Increasing the membership, the number of substitute Members and making the quorum rules less restrictive would eradicate this problem Reference was made to some sectors where Audit Committees had developed much further and Audit Committees looked at strategic risks. Hillingdon's Audit Committees looked at strategic risks. Hillingdon's Audit Committees of Audit Committees should preferably have a financial background which would help Members in their role on the Audit Committee. This should be extended to substitutes. Training was given to substitute Members as well as to newly appointed Members of the Audit Committee and this should be continued There should be continuity of service with the membership of the Audit Committee to ensure Members were sufficiently skilled to carry out their duties, particularly as local authority accounts were complex.	
•	The Audit Committee invited officers to their meetings	

	if unsatisfactory levels of assurance were received for internal audits. This, however was not written into the Committee's Terms of Reference although officers always attended if asked to do so. It was agreed that a recommendation of the review could be adding to the Audit Committee's Terms of Reference, the invitation of officers to meetings to be questioned on unsatisfactory assurance levels received from Internal Audit Peer reviews were useful tools to assess the effectiveness of the Audit Committee and the Head of Audit at Southend on Sea Borough Council had carried one out on this authority's Audit Committee A national forum of Chairmen of local authority Audit Committees could help in terms of networking and best practice Consideration needed to be given to where the Audit Committee fitted into the Council's Committee structure and which Council decision making body scrutinised Audit Committee minutes The Audit Committee met in private, both with the External Auditors and the Head of Audit and Enforcement which was good practice and should be written into the Committee's Terms of Reference Audit Manager for the Council (Deloitte) – Jonathan	Action By:
	The External Auditor's partnership with the Audit Committee was effective and there was a good relationship Deloitte was primarily responsible for auditing the Council's Statement of Accounts and considering whether the Council was delivering value for money. The Audit Committee reviewed the External Auditor's reports The accounting process for local authorities was complex and different to the private sector and the NHS. Local authorities found it a challenge to appoint Independent Members with the knowledge of local government finance The External Auditor reviewed the work of Internal Audit and used the work of Internal Audit to inform their audit and to assess where the risks were The membership of Audit Committee of five members was low in comparison to other Audit Committees	
received into cor	on took place on the issues raised and the evidence and it was agreed that the views expressed be taken nsideration when the Committee developed the endations of the review.	

		Action By:
	Members asked that Councillor George Cooper, a Member of the Audit Committee, and an Independent Member from an Audit Committee from another local authority be invited to attend the next meeting of the Committee to give their views on some of the issues raised during this review.	
	Both witnesses were thanked for their attendance and for the information they had given Members for the review.	
	RESOLVED -	
	1. That the information provided as part of the witness session be noted and for the next meeting Members be provided with proposed initial recommendations for the review so far.	Khalid Ahmed
	2. That Councillor George Cooper, a Member of the Audit Committee, and an Independent Member from an Audit Committee from another local authority be invited to attend the next meeting of the Committee to provide their views on local authority Audit Committees.	Khalid Ahmed
22.	WORK PROGRAMME	
	Members noted that the Head of Procurement would now be attending the next meeting of this Committee (20 October 2011) when he would be providing the Committee with a presentation on procurement within the Council. The issues which were raised at the meeting on 21 July would be covered in the presentation.	
	Members also asked that the Council's Facilities Manager be invited to the next meeting to provide Members with a report back on the action taken as a result of the recent power cut at the civic centre.	
	Members also asked that the Council's Facilities Manager be asked for an update on the implementation of the recommendations of this Committee's review on the Personal Safety of Members when meeting with members of the public.	Khalid Ahmed / Steve Smith
	The work programme was noted.	
23.	CABINET FORWARD PLAN	
	Noted.	
	Meeting closed at 9.05pm Next meeting: 20 October 2011 at 7.30pm.	

These are the minutes of the above meeting. For more information on any of the resolutions

please contact Khalid Ahmed on 01895 250833. Circulation of these minutes are to Councillors, Officers, the Press and Members of the Public.